

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/509,237		ROSE ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	BLESSING M. FUBARA		1618	

All Participants:

(1) BLESSING M. FUBARA.

(2) E. Victor Indiano.

Date of Interview: 22 October 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 9 to be amended to remove "the" before "preparation" and "steps" in part 3 of the claim; claim 9 is also to be amended to replace ";" with a period. Proper alternative expression using the Markush group is also recited by changing "a" to ---the--- before "group" in section 3 of claim 9 according to suggestion in MPEP 2173.05(h) I. .